

São Paulo Declaration on Pollinators plus 5 Forum

**Survey methods for bee as
pollinators in Brazil: assessing
the status and suggesting best
practices**

Workshop Report

São Paulo Declaration on Pollinators plus 5 Forum

Survey methods for bee as pollinators in Brazil: assessing the status and suggesting best practices

Workshop Report

Sao Paulo
2004

Foreword

Workshop preparation

In October 1998, Sao Paulo workshop on sustainable use of pollinators for agricultural use was held, and as a result from this meeting the S. Paulo Declaration on Pollinators was constructed. In COP5 from CBD the International Pollinators Initiative was approved as a new program related to sustainable agriculture. FAO was invited to be a facilitator of this process. In COP6, The Hagen 2002, a plan of action for IPI was approved for guiding the actions of regional pollinator initiatives, proposing goals to be attained in 10 years.

Meanwhile, regional efforts related to IPI developed. In Brazil, several activities were performed, coordinated by a committee informally established by the focal point in Ministry of Agriculture, in 2002, during the main Brazilian meeting on bees (V Encontro sobre Abelhas, em Ribeirao Preto). Among these activities was the FAO proposal of a workshop related to standard methodologies and assessment of best practices in agriculture to promote biodiversity in agro ecosystems. The title SP Declaration on Pollinators +5 was suggested by M. Ruggiero, and promptly accepted.

The preparation of this workshop focused the awareness in the issue to the potential participants of the Brazilian Pollinator Initiative program, in this initial phase: scientific community in consolidate and emergent groups, including here the Agricultural staff from Embrapa and other agronomic schools that could be engaged in this Initiative. For discussing common routes, the issues related to the International Pollinator Initiative, we also invited the leaders of other established Pollinator Initiatives: North American, European, African, ICIMOD, and FAO, the IPI facilitator. In Brazil, EMBRAPA and bee researchers from all country (15 research centers, from 15 states) were invited. We had 77 attendants to this workshop. Several countries (11) participated in this SP Declaration on Pollinators plus 5. ITIS, INESP and FAO were also present. Federal government ministries from Science and Technology and Environment also gave their support for this workshop, a counterpart to FAO support.

International Pollinators Initiatives

In order to show a general panorama of the International Pollinator Initiative (IPI), we invited for this workshop the worldwide pollinators initiatives (North American Pollinator Initiative; European Pollinator Initiative; African Pollinator Initiative; ICIMOD and FAO, as facilitator of the IPI), who presented their program of activities and recent achievements.

North American Pollinator Initiative comprises an established net of institutions, associations and researchers involved in the pollinators' issue, as well as in the ecological services provided by them. It is a public-private partnership of pollinators' conservation programs. Among the milestones of pollinators programs are The Forgotten Pollinators Campaign (1996), the Sao Paulo Declaration on Pollinators Conservation and sustainable use (1998), the North American Pollinator Protection Campaign (NAPPC) in 1999, the International Pollinator Initiative (IPI) in CBD (2000; 2002), among others. This is a science-based program, "a portfolio of programs, projects and activities

from the public and private sector, connected by a spirit of cooperation" (Ruggiero et al., 2004).

European Pollinator Initiative (EPI) has adopted the same framework of the IPI, the four key components being: assessment, adaptive management, capacity building and mainstreaming. To assess the pollinator loss it was developed ALARM (**A**sseSSing of **L**Arge-scale Environmental **R**isks with tested **M**ethods) project, combining the expertise of 54 partners from 26 countries. This program started on February 1st 2004, and it is planned for 5 years initially. In particular, risks arising from pollinators' loss in the context of current and future land use in Europe will be assessed. SUPER (**S**ustainable **U**se of **P**ollinators as an **E**uropean **R**esource) will build directly upon ALARM to address identified declines in European Pollinators resources in socially and economic viable way (Potts, 2004).

The African Pollinator Initiative was established in 1999, as the African network of the IPI. "It strives to improve communication channels between all people and organizations interested in pollinators and pollination biology, including biodiversity conservation, agriculture and general awareness, and facilitate collective achievements (Eardley et al. 2004)". In 2002 its first Secretariat was formed, and published the Plan of Action of African Pollinator Initiative. At this moment API comprises Ghana, Kenya and South Africa, but it is opened for other participants. A major need that exists in Africa is to know what the pollinators are. Rapid assessments, taxonomic efforts and capacity building are among the main needs.

ICIMOD (International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development) initiated its pollinator/pollination program in 1991, to address applied research, development and related issues of pollinators and pollination. The overall goal of ICIMOD is to improve livelihoods of mountain people by enhancing agricultural productivity and biodiversity conservation through conservation of indigenous pollinators' species in order to ensure sustainable pollination of crops and other indigenous plant species of the Hindu Kush-Himalayan region. Several activities are being undertaken concerning pollinators (Partap, 2004).

Brazilian Pollinators Initiative (BPI) was constructed based on the Sao Paulo Declaration on Pollinators, who raised international interest and provided strategic direction for pollinator conservation planning (Dias et al., 1998; Kevan and Imperatriz-Fonseca, 2002; Imperatriz-Fonseca & Dias, 2004; Imperatriz-Fonseca et al., 2004).

API, BPI and ICIMOD are together in a GEF project recently approved, (http://www.webbee.org.br/bpi/pdfs/gef_proposal.pdf) entitled *Conservation and management of pollinators for sustainable agriculture through an ecosystem approach*, having FAO as facilitator. In this global scenario, with pollinators in mainstreaming in developed countries and almost unknown in undeveloped countries, a partnership among scientists and stakeholders will improve capacity building and sustainable use of pollinators. As the general framework of IPI is adopted by all Initiatives, including here standardized methodologies for assessments, to join leaderships to discuss goals, needs and opportunities was considered essential. For global comparisons, assessment methods must be standardized.

Methodological discussions

The talks were organized in order to give support for the discussion on which standard methodologies should be used in the development of Brazilian Pollinator Initiative. In oral presentations several aspects of the methodologies applied until now in pollination research and pollinators assessment were presented by the specialists, showing how some important issues should be considered in our further actions. Subjects as the influence of individual collector performance in assessment, methods to be applied in assessment research, long term and short term evaluations, introduction and restoration of pollinators, performance evaluation in solitary pollinators, meta analysis of data related on plant-pollinators relationships, priorities for pollinators programs, were presented. Gene flow, bee's species visiting flowers of important crops and pollinators breeding possibilities in Brazil were also part of oral presentations and specific group discussions.

The group discussions followed a protocol previously scheduled by group coordinators. We did an attempt to bring also as specialist Dr. Puja Batra, a specialist in Rapid Assessment Protocols from Conservation International that also had experience with bees in her degree, in India. Unfortunately, at the last moment she was not able to come to join us in the discussions.

Protocols and discussions

The sessions' coordinators established a protocol to be discussed during the workshop. The groups should discuss the methods to be standardized, and suggest themes for the PDF B phase of the GEF project. We must consider the enormous task for some themes, which obviously could not be concluded after a 5 days workshop. Some general comments will follow.

The first group discussed assessment methods for pollinators' status. Although they advanced in the analysis of different methods, the task is not concluded. The main methods to be applied were pointed, but only indications for the Manual of Standard Methods were done. Coordinators could answer the proposed questions, an orientation for case studies and next steps in PDF B phase from GEF project.

The gene flow group presented a conclusive report. They also pointed the chosen methods to be used for different purposes, without describing them. Gene flow studies must be included in the full project, and a selection of subjects was suggested by the group for next steps.

Management of bees that could be used as crop pollinators was the next subject, divided in 3 parts: for honeybees; for stingless bees; for bumblebees and solitary bees.

In Brazil, as well as in other countries, honeybees are used as generalist pollinators because they are abundant, easy to breed and to manage in crops. Honeybees were focused for crop pollination in the important McGregor book, still very used and updated on line. Nevertheless, Brazil has the Africanized honeybee, who requires special management practices, and knowledge related to their performance as pollinators. In fact, they are quite effective in pollination, as Roubik (2002) pointed out for coffee production in Panama, and as Couto (2002) discussed for several crops. The honeybees study group presented a well comprehensive report, with valuable suggestions and guidelines for further applications of bees as pollinators. It is important to remark that, if funding is not

available to develop bee biology projects and to improve the local knowledge and capacity building with the other bee species, honeybees will be the only available pollinators in high quantity for Agricultural use in our country, although introduced in America, generalists and in most cases less effective for biodiversity conservancy.

Stingless bees are native in Brazil, with more than 300 species in all territory. Their breeding is known for some species. Brazilian government has just fixed norms for the stingless bees' beekeeping, through the CONAMA (CONAMA 346; <http://www.mma.gov.br/port/conama/index.cfm>). In Brazil, animals and plants belong, by law, to the government. The use of natural resources is very restricting now, a contrast between the high level of deforestation and habitat destruction by fire and other "sustainable use" in all over the country. Nevertheless, basic research in stingless bees is still needed. A meta analysis of available data in Brazil is important mainly to define the relationships among bees genus and plants visited by them, a first approach for their use in pollination; stingless bees fossils are around 85 millions years old and coevolution with local flora should occur in the very biodiverse habitat they live, with floral preferences (see Ramalho et al., 1992; Biesmeijer et al. submitted), although their generalist use of floral resources. This academic research has to be done and its result turned to lists of plants indicated for stingless bees breeding and habitat restoration. A big problem for stingless bees breeding and capacity building programs is that relevant literature for this program is in English or in unpublished thesis, as well as there is a lack of knowledge on basic need for survival for most species. A priority is to make available, in Portuguese, synthesis of the present knowledge, written for different stakeholders. The WebBee (Saraiva et al., 2004) was constructed in order to be our infoway in this task.

Considering the high biodiversity of stingless bees, and the new meliponiculture regulation for research and for beekeeping, it is necessary to develop breeding techniques for all activities, including research. Nest area allowed to be obtained by trapnests in nature; a nest census and a bait-trap survey in natural and agricultural areas for stingless bees is also a priority for investigation. A recent research developed in Sarawak, Asia, showed the effects of human disturbance on a stingless bee community in a tropical rainforest, as a result of changes in resources availability (Eltz et al., 2002a e b; Samejima et al. in press). Such changes in the bee community may affect the reproductive success of plants and ultimately forest composition. Nests sites are considered as essential resources, and have some requirements, as specialization in use of logs of some plant species as well as diameters at breast height >50 cm. This has implications for reforestation programs as well for the sustainable use of the forest.

Brazil has only 7 species of bumblebees (*Bombus*); nevertheless they are abundant all over the country. They are generally very aggressive, and are not reared for pollination purposes in Brazil. The carpenter bee, *Xylocopa*, can be reared and is a good pollinator for passion-fruit, for instance. There are 49 species of Carpenter bees in Brazil (Silveira et al., 2002), potentially important in Agriculture. The solitary bees management group did a very comprehensive report, presented the main plants that should benefit for their use as pollinators

and the needs for breeding them in large quantities. An effort for a workshop focusing only these bees as pollinators was indicated as a need.

Workshop results are on line in <http://www.webbee.org.br>, as well as the oral presentations.

Sao Paulo, August 29th 2004

References

- Biesmeijer, J.C.; Slaa, J.; Castro, M.S.; Viana, B. F.; Kleinert, A. M. P. & Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L. – submitted- Connectance of Brazilian social bee-food plant networks is influenced by habitat, but not latitude, altitude or network size.
- Couto, RHN- 2002- Plantas e abelhas, uma parceria em crise? Anais do V Encontro sobre abelhas, Ribeirão Preto, p. 87-94.
- Dias, B.F.S, Raw, A & Imperatriz-Fonseca, VL-1998. International Pollinators Initiative: The São Paulo Declaration on Pollinators. Report on the Recommendations of the Workshop on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Pollinators in Agriculture with Emphasis on Bees. (<http://www.fao.org/biodiversity/docs/pdf/Pollinators.PDF>). Available at http://www.webbee.org.br/bpi/pdfs/declaration_polinators.pdf , accessed on August 29th 2004
- Eardley, C; Gemmil, B; Kwapon, P and Kinuthia, W. 2004-The African Pollinator Initiative. In: Freitas, B. F. & Pereira, J. O. eds., *Solitary bees: conservation, rearing and management in pollination*, Fortaleza, Imprensa Universitária, p. 67-69.
- Eltz, T.; Brühl,C; van der Kaas, S.;Linsenmair, K.E. 2002a- Determinants of stingless bees nest density in lowland dipterocarp forest of Sabah, Malaysia. *Oecologia* n. 131, p.27-31.
- Eltz,T.; Brühl, C; Zanrie I & Linsenmair, K.E. 2002b- Nesting and nest trees of stingless bees (Apidae, Meliponinae)in lowland dipterocarp forests in Sabah, Malaysia, with implications for forest management. *Forest Ecology and Management*, v. 172, p.301-313.
- Imperatriz-Fonseca, VL. & Dias, B. F. S – 2004- The Brazilian Pollinator Initiative. In: Freitas & Pereira eds., *Solitary bees: conservation, rearing and management for pollination*, Fortaleza, Imprensa Universitária, p. 27-33. Available at <http://www.webbee.org.br> , accessed on August 29th 2004.
- Imperatriz-Fonseca, VL; Contrera, FAL & Kleinert, AMP- 2004- A iniciativa brasileira dos polinizadores e a meliponicultura. IN: Anais do XV Congresso brasileiro de apicultura e I Congresso Brasileiro de Meliponicultura,in CDROM.
- Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L; Freitas, B.M.; Saraiva, A.M. & Dias, B.F.S.- 2004. The Brazilian Pollinator Initiative: Challenges and opportunities. . IN: Annals of the 8th IBRA International Conference on Tropical Beekeeping and VI Encontro sobre abelhas, Ribeirao Preto, in CDROM.
- Kevan, P. G.; Imperatriz-Fonseca, V. L. (eds.).2002- Pollinating bees: the conservation link between agriculture and nature. Brasília: Ministério do

Meio Ambiente , 313p. Available at <http://www.webbee.org.br> , accessed on August 29th 2004.

McGregor, SE- 1976- *Insect Pollination of cultivated crop plants*. USDA-ARS, Washington, DC. Available at <http://gears.tucson.ars.ag.gov/book/index.html> , accessed on August 29th 2004.

Partap, U. - 2004. An overview of pollinators' research and development in the Indu Kush-Himalayan Region. In: Freitas, B. F. & Pereira, J. O. eds., Solitary bees: conservation, rearing and management in pollination, Fortaleza, Imprensa Universitária, p.57-66.

Potts, S. (ed.) 2004- European Pollinators Initiative (EPI): Assessing the risks of Pollinators loss. In: Freitas, B. F. & Pereira, J. O. eds., Solitary bees: conservation, rearing and management in pollination, Fortaleza, Imprensa Universitária, p. 43-55.

Ramalho, M.; Kleinert-Giovannini, A.; Imperatriz-Fonseca, V. L. 1990. Important bee plants for stingless bees (*Melipona* and *Trigonini*) and Africanized honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) in neotropical habitats: a review. *Apidologie*, v. 21, p. 469-488, 1990.

Roubik, D.W.-2002- Feral African Bees augment Neotropical coffee yield. In: Kevan, P & Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L. eds, *Pollinating bees: the conservation link between Agriculture and Nature*, Brasilia, p.255-266. Available on line in <http://www.webbee.org.br>

Samejina, H.; Marzuki, M.; Nagamitsu, T.; Nakasizuka, T.-in press- The effects of human disturbance on a stingless bee community in a tropical rainforest. *Biological Conservation*, doi: 10.1016/j.biolkcon.2004.03.30 .

Saraiva, A.M. & Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L.-2004- A proposal for an information network for the Brazilian Pollinator Initiative- BPI- based on WebBee. IN: Annals of the 8th IBRA International Conference on Tropical Beekeeping and VI Encontro sobre Abelhas, Ribeirao Preto, in CDROM.

Silveira, F. A.; Melo, G.A.R. & Almeida, E.A.B.-2002- Abelhas brasileiras, sistemática e identificação. Belo Horizonte, 253p.

Content

Invitation	10
Acronyms	11
Coordination Committee	12
Agenda	12
Participants	12
Workshop objectives & program	17
<i>Group 1. Discussing the surveying and monitoring of pollinators at natural landscapes and cultivated fields</i>	20
<i>Group 2. Assessment of Pollinator - Mediated Gene Flow</i>	37
<i>Group 3. Bees' Management for Pollination Purposes</i>	53
<i>Subgroup 3.1. Bee Management for Pollination Purposes - Bumblebees and Solitary bees</i>	57
<i>Subgroup 3.2. Honey Bee Management for Pollination Purposes</i>	67

São Paulo Declaration on Pollinators plus 5 Forum

Survey methods for bee as pollinators in Brazil: assessing the status and suggesting best practices

Invitation

In October 1998 the Brazilian Ministry of Environment held an international workshop of experts - the "Workshop on the Conservation and Sustainable use of Pollinators in Agriculture, with Emphasis on Bees", to propose a framework for an International Initiative on Pollinators as a key element in this program. It was attended by 61 scientists from 15 countries and 5 International organizations.

As a consequence of this meeting a document was created in Brasilia entitled "The São Paulo Declaration on Pollinators", that was endorsed in May 2000 by the fifth Conference of Parties of Convention of Biological Diversity, held in Nairobi (section II of the decision v/5, that reviewed the implementation of decisions III/11 and IV/6 on the program of work on agro biodiversity). COP5 established an International Initiative for the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Pollinators, hereafter referred to as the International Pollinator Initiative.

A Plan of Action was then prepared by FAO and the CBD Secretariat, endorsed by SBSTTA 7, and recommended for adoption by CBD COP 6. The Plan of Action of the IPI was accepted by member countries and adopted at COP 6 (decision VI/5).

Since then, most regions of the world have established or are in process of establishing wide-ranging pollinator's initiatives. The core objectives of IPI are also kept by the regional Initiatives. They are:

- Monitor pollinator decline, its causes and its impact on pollinator services
- Address the lack of taxonomic information on pollinators
- Assess the economic value of pollination and the economic impact of the decline of pollination services
- Promote the conservation, the restoration and sustainable use of pollinator diversity in agriculture as well as in related ecosystems

To follow this agenda, the Brazilian Pollinator Initiative, under the support of FAO and other Agencies, invites you to participate in its next meeting, to be held in S. Paulo, October 27th - 30th 2003. Under this title S. Paulo Declaration on Pollinators plus 5 we hope to join the regional Initiatives, to discuss common

routes and some essential points: standardized methodologies, pollinators declining and management practices.

Acronyms

API	African Pollinators Initiative
BPI	Brazilian Pollinators Initiative
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CI	Conservation International
COP	Conference of Parties
EMBRAPA	Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation
EPI	European Pollinators Initiative
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FUSP	Sao Paulo University Foundation
GEF	Global Environmental Facility
ICIMOD	International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development
INPA	National Institute for Amazonian Research
INESP	Network for Expertise in Sustainable Pollination
IPI	International Pollinator Initiative
ITIS	International Taxonomy Information Service
MMA	Ministry of Environment
NAPI	North American Pollinators Initiative
OREADES	NGO
PDF B	Project Development Facility phase B
SBSTTA	Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
ARS	Agricultural Research Service
USP	Sao Paulo University

Coordination Committee

- Paulo Yoshio Kageyama (MMA)
- Braulio Ferreira de Souza Dias - BPI Coordinator (MMA)
- Vera Lucia Imperatriz Fonseca - BPI Coordinator (USP)
- Lionel Segui Gonçalves (BPI - USP)
- Fernando Amaral da Silveira (UFMG)
- Marina Siqueira de Castro (EBDA)
- Breno Magalhães Freitas (UFC)
- Cynthia Pinheiro Machado (Oreades)
- Afonso Celso Candeira Valois (EMBRAPA)
- Claudia Maria Jacobi (UFMG)

Agenda

- Oral presentation sessions: (2 days, common sessions)
- Discussion groups on target issues (1,5 days, participants divided in three groups)
- Achievements from the discussion groups and recommendations for further activities: last day.

Participants

Adriana de Oliveira Fidalgo, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533.

Alfred Ochieng, ICIPE, P.O.Box 30772, Nairobi, KENYA, Tel: +254 2 861680-4, Fax: +254 2 860110 [aochieng@icipe.org].

Anthony Raw, Laboratório de Entomologia, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz (UESC), Rodovia Ilhéus-Itabuna, Km 16, CEP 45650-000, Bahia, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 73 680-5261 [tonyraw@jacaranda.uesc.br.com.br].

Antônio Mauro Saraiva, Laboratório de Automação Agrícola, Departamento de Engenharia de Computação e Sistemas Digitais, Escola Politécnica, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Prof. Luciano Gualberto, Travessa 3, número 158, sala C2-54, CEP 05424-970, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-5366 [amsaraiv@usp.br].

Astrid de Matos Peixoto Kleinert, Departamento de Ecologia Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321 Cidade Universitária, CEP 05508-900, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 30917604 [astridkl@ib.usp.br].

Barbara Gemmill, Environment Liaison Center International (ELCI), P.O. Box 30772, Nairobi, KENYA [barbara@elci.org].

Braulio Ferreira de Souza Dias, Secretaria de Biodiversidade e Florestas do Ministerio do Meio Ambiente. SAIN Av. L4 Norte, Campus Sede do IBAMA, Bloco H, CEP 70.800-200 Brasília, DF, Brasil Tel. +5561 325-41-85 ou 317-

1120, Fax +5561 325-5755; [braulio.dias@mma.gov.br] &
[bfsdias@terra.com.br]

Breno Magalhães Freitas, Departamento de Zootecnia, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Campus Universitário do PICI, Caixa Postal 12168, CEP 60355-970, Fortaleza, Ceará, BRAZIL [freitas@ufc.br].

Carmen Silvia Soares Pires, Laboratório de Bioecologia e Semioquímicos, Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA), Sain Parque Rural - W5 Final, Asa Norte, CEP 70849-970, Brasilia, DF, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 61 448-4682 [cpires@cenargen.embrapa.br].

Celso Feitosa Martins, Departamento de Sistemática e Ecologia (CCEN), Universidade Federal da Paraíba, CEP 58059-900, João Pessoa, Paraíba, BRAZIL [cmartins@dse.ufpb.br].

Claudia Maria Jacobi, Departamento de Biologia Geral, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Avenida Antônio Carlos 6627, Caixa Postal 486, CEP 30161-970, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL [jacobi@mono.icb.ufg.br].

Clemens Peter Schlindwein, Departamento de Botânica, Centro de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Av. Prof. Moraes Rêgo s/n, Cidade Universitária, CEP 50670-901, Recife, Pernambuco, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 81 3271-8352 [schlindw@ufpe.br]

Connal Eardley, Agricultural Research Council, Plant Protection Research Institute, Private Bag X134, Queenswood, 0121, South Africa, 590 Vermeulen Street, Pretoria, SOUTH AFRICA, Tel +27 (0)12 - 304 9578, Fax +27 (0)12 325 6998 [EardleyC@arc.agric.za].

Cynthia Almeida Pinheiro Machado, OREADES (NGO), Travessa w1 qd 12 , lote 1-2, CEP 75830-000, Mineiros, Goiás, BRAZIL [cpm@oreades.org.br]

David De Jong, Departamento de Genética, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo (FMRP-USP), CEP 14049-900, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 16 602-4401, fax +55 16 633-6482 [ddjong@rgm.fmrp.usp.br].

David W. Roubik, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Unit 0948, APO AA 34002-0948or APDO 2072 Balboa, REPUBLIC OF PANAMÁ [roubik@ctfs.stri.si.edu / roubik@tivoli.si.edu].

Denise de Araújo Alves, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [daalves@ib.usp.br].

Denise Monique Dubet da Silva Mouga, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Pró Reitoria de Ensino, Universidade da Região de Joinville (UNIVILLE), Campus Universitário, s/n, Bom Retiro, CEP 89201-972, Joinville, Santa Catarina, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 47 461-9000 [biologia@univille.edu.br].

Dieter Wittmann, Institut für Landwirtschaftliche Zoologie und Bienenkunde, Melbweg, 42, University of Bonn, Bonn, 53127, GERMANY [ult401@uni-bonn.de].

Dirk Koedam, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, Travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [dkoedam@usp.br].

Eda Flávia Lotufo Rodrigues Alves Patrício, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533.

Edivani Villaron Franceschinelli, Departamento de Botânica, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Av. Antonio Carlos 6627, Pampulha, CEP 31270-110, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 31 3499-2689 [edivani@icb.ufmg.br].

Farooq Ahmad, Indigenous Honey Bee Project, International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), P.O Box 3226, Kathmandu, NEPAL, Tel: 09977-1-5525313, Fax 00977-1-5524509 [fahmad@icimod.org.np].

Favízia Freitas de Oliveira, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [favos@ib.usp.br / favos@bol.com.br].

Felipe Andrés Leon Contrera, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [falc@ib.usp.br].

Fernando Amaral da Silveira, Laboratorio de Sistemática e Ecologia de Abelhas, Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Avenida Antônio Carlos 6627, Caixa Postal 486, CEP 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL [fernando@mono.icb.ufmg.br].

Fernando César Vieira Zanella, Departamento de Engenharia Florestal, Centro de Saúde e Tecnologia Rural, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande (UFCG), Bairro do Jatobá, CEP 58700-970, Jatobá – Patos, Paraíba, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 83 421-3397 [fzanella@cstr.ufcg.edu.br].

Flavia Monteiro Coelho, Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Avenida Antônio Carlos 6627, ICB Pampulha, CEP 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 31 3499-2902 [monteiro@vicos.ufv.br].

Francisco de Sousa Ramalho, Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Algodão, Unidade de Controle Biológico, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA), Rua Osvaldo Cruz 1143, Centenário, CEP 58107-720, Campina Grande, Paraíba, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 83 341-3608 [FRAMALHO@CNPA.EMBRAPA.BR].

Giorgio Cristina Venturieri, Centro de Pesquisa Agroflorestal da Amazônia Oriental, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA - Amazônia Oriental), Tv. Dr. Enéas Pinheiro, s/nº, CEP 66095100, Marco – Belém, Belém, Pará, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 91 2994500 [giorgio@cpatu.embrapa.br].

Gisele Garcia Azevedo, Departamento de Biologia Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Maranhão (UFMA), Av. dos Portugueses s/n, CEP 65040-080, Bacanga, São Luis, Maranhão, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 98 217-8542 [giselegarcia@ufma.br].

Guionmar Nates Parra, Laboratorio de Investigación en Abejas (LABUN), Departamento de Biología, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, A.A. 144590,

Bogotá, COLOMBIA, S.A, Tel: +3165000 ext. 11335/11337, Fax 3165310 [gnates@ciencias.unal.edu.co].

Isabel Alves dos Santos, Diretoria de Pós Graduação, Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense (UNESC), Av. Universitária 1105, Universitário, CEP 88806-000, Criciuma, Santa Catarina, BRAZIL, Tel: 48 431-2566 [isa@unesc.rct-sc.br].

Jacobus Christiaan Biesmeijer School of Biology.University of Leeds.Leads LS2 9JT, United Kingdom. [J.C.Biesmeijer@leeds.ac.uk].

James H. Cane, Bee Biology and Systematics Laboratory, Utah State University, 5310 Old Main Hill, Logan, Utah 84322-5310, USA, Tel: +01 435 797-3879, Fax 435-797-0461 [jcane@biology.usu.edu].

Jesus Santiago Moure, Departamento de Zoologia, Setor de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Paraná (UFPR), Centro Politécnico, Caixa Postal 19020, CEP 81531-980, Jardim das Américas, Curitiba, Paraná, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 41 361-1671, Fax: +55 41 266-2042 [jsmoure@bol.com.br / urban@bio.ufpr.br].

João Israel Bernardo, Escola Politécnica da USP, Rua Zezé Lima, nº 222, CEP 35687-045, Itauna, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 7333-3871 [joao.bernardo@poli.usp.br].

João Rodrigues de Paiva, Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Agroindústria Tropical, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA), Rua Dra. Sara Mesquita, 2270, Planalto do PICI, CEP 60511-110, Fortaleza, Ceará, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 85 299-1864 [paiva@cnpat.embrapa.br].

Kátia Peres Gramacho, Faculdade de Tecnologia e Ciências (FTC), Coordenação de Ciências Biológicas, Av. Luiz Viana Filho, CEP 40.000-001, Salvador, BA, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 71 281-8102 [gramacho.ssa@ftc.br].

Kátia Sampaio Malagodi Braga, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [ckbraga@terra.com.br].

Lílian Santos Barreto, Laboratório de Abelhas, Empresa Baiana de Desenvolvimento Agrícola (EBDA), Av. Ademar de Barros, 967, Ondina, 40170-110, Salvador, Bahia, BRAZIL [lbarreto@ufba.br].

Linda Collette, United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, ITALY [linda.collette@fao.org].

Lionel Segui Gonçalves, Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Filosofica Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo (FFCLRP-USP), CEP 14.040-901, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 16 602-3054, Fax +55 16 633-6482 [lsgoncal@usp.br].

Lucio Antonio de Oliveira Campos, Departamento de Biologia Geral, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Viçosa (UFV), Av. P. H. Rolfs, s/n, CEP 36571-000, Centro, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 31 3899-1299 [lcampose@ufv.br].

Márcia de Fátima Ribeiro, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [marib@ib.usp.br].

Márcia Maria Corrêa Rêgo, Departamento de Biologia, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Maranhão (UFMA), Av. dos Portugueses, s/n Campus Universitário do Bacanga, Bacanga, CEP 65080-040, São Luis, Maranhão, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 98 217-8544 [regommcc@uol.com.br].

Maria Cristina Arias, Departamento de Biologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, nº 277, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7587 [mcarias@ib.usp.br].

Maria Cristina Gaglianone, Laboratório de Ciências Ambientais, Centro de Biociências e Biotecnologia , Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro (UENF), Av. Alberto Lamego 2000, CEP 28013-600, Campos dos Goytacazes, Rio de Janeiro, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 22 2726-1602 [mcrisgag@uenf.br].

Maria José de Oliveira Campos, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho (UNESP), Av. 24^A, N. 1515, CEP 13506-900, Bela Vista, Rio Claro, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 19 526-4145 [mjoc@rc.unesp.br].

Maria Rodrigues Vianna, Laboratório de Ecologia Quantitativa, Departamento de Biologia Geral, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Viçosa (UFV), Av. P. H. Rolfs, s/n, Campus Universitário, CEP 36570-001, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 31 3899-1178 [mvianna@insecta.ufv.br].

Mariana de Araújo Melo, Departamento de Biologia Animal, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, PPG-Entomologia, Universidade Federal de Viçosa (UFV), Av. P. H. Rolfs, s/n, Campus Universitário, CEP 36571-000, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 31 3899-2531 [mariana@insecta.ufv.br].

Marilda Cortopassi Laurino, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [mclaurin@usp.br].

Marina Siqueira de Castro, Empresa Baiana de Desenvolvimento Agrícola (EBDA) / Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Av. Ademar de Barros No. 967, Ondina, CEP: 40110-170, Salvador, Bahia, BRAZIL [marinascastro@uol.com.br].

Mauro Ramalho, Departamento de Botânica, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal da Bahia (UFBA), Rua Barão de Geremoabo s/n, Ondina, CEP 40170-290, Salvador, Bahia, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 71 247-3810 [ramauro@ufba.br].

Michael A. Ruggiero, International Taxonomy Information Service (ITIS), Smithsonian Institution, P.O.Box 37012 NMNH, Room CE-120, MCR 0180, Washington DC, 20013-7012, USA [ruggiero.michael@nmnh.si.edu].

Olga Inés Cepeda Aponte, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [ocpeda@usp.br].

Patricia Maia Correia de Albuquerque, Laboratório de Entomologia, Departamento de Biologia, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Maranhão (UFMA), Av. dos Portugueses, Campus Univ. do Bacanga, CEP 65080-040, São Luis, Maranhão, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 98 217-8544 [palbuq@elo.com.br].

Paulo De Marco Junior, Laboratório de Ecologia Quantitativa, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Departamento de Biologia Geral, Universidade Federal de Viçosa (UFV), Centro, CEP 36571-000, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 31 3899-1669 [pdemarco@mail.ufv.br].

- Paulo Yoshio Kageyama**, Departamento de Ciências Florestais, Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Av. Pádua Dias, nº 11, CEP 13418-900, Piracicaba, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 19 430-8642 [kageyama@esalq.usp.br].
- Paulo Nogueira Neto**, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, trav.14, nº321, CEP 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [nogueira-net@uol.com.br].
- Peter G. Kevan**, Department of Environmental Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1, CANADA [pkevan@uoguelph.ca].
- Peter K. Kwapon**, Department of Biological Science, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, GHANA [pkwapong@yahoo.com].
- Ricardo Costa Rodrigues de Camargo**, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA Meio-Norte), Av. Duque de Caxias 5650, Buenos Aires, Teresina, Piauí BRAZIL, Tel: +55 86 225-1141 extension 270, Fax: +55 86 225-1142 [ricardo@cpamn.embrapa.br]
- Rogério Gribel**, Departamento de Botânica, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA-CPBO), Caixa Postal 478, Aleixo, CEP 69011-970, Manaus, Amazonas, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 92 643-3112 [rgribel@inpa.gov.br].
- Rui Carlos Peruquetti**, Departamento de Biologia Geral, Universidade Federal de Viçosa (UFV), Av. P.H. Rolfs, s/nº, CEP 36571-000, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, BRAZIL [peruquetti@ufv.br].
- Simon G. Potts**, Centre for Agri-Environmental Research (CAER), School of Agriculture, Reading University, PO Box 237, Reading, RG6 6AR, UK, Tel: +44-118-378-6154 , Fax: +44-118-378-6067 [s.g.potts@reading.ac.uk].
- Terry Griswold**, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Bee Biology & Systematics Lab., Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322-5310, USA, Tel: +01 435 797-2526 [tgris@biology.usu.edu / tgris@cc.usu.edu].
- Tereza Cristina Giannini**, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, CEP 05508-900, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7527 [giannini@usp.br].
- Uma Partap**, Pollination International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), P.O Box 3226, Kathmandu, NEPAL, Tel: 09977-1-5525313, Fax 00977-1-5524509 [upartap@icimod.org.np].
- Valdemar Belchior Filho**, Centro Brasileiro de Apoio à Pequena e Média Empresa (CEBRAE), Rua Rui Barbosa nº 1, Centro, CEP 59600-230, Mossoró, Rio Grande do Norte, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 84 315-4346 [valdemar@sebraern.com.br].
- Vera Lucia Imperatriz Fonseca**, Laboratório de Abelhas, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, travessa 14, nº 321, 05508-900, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, São Paulo, BRAZIL, Tel: +55 11 3091-7533 [vlifonse@usp.br].

São Paulo Declaration on Pollinators plus 5 Forum

Workshop objectives

BPI received from FAO a support through a Letter of Agreement with FUSP in order to prepare a Standard Methodology Workshop for assessing pollinator's status and to identify the best management practices to counter its global declining trend.

Two points were considered as essentials:

- the establishment of standard methodologies for assessing the bees' status on crops and in natural habitats;
- the establishment of methodologies for assessing management practices for large scale breeding and use of pollinators in crops and in environment restoration.

Workshop Program

SURVEY METHODS FOR BEE AS POLLINATORS IN BRAZIL: ASSESSING THE STATUS AND SUGGESTING BEST PRACTICES	
	October 27th
9:00	Opening ceremony
9:30	International Pollinators Initiative and Brazilian Pollinators Initiative. Braulio F. S. Dias .
10:00	North American Pollinators Initiative. Michael Ruggiero .
10:30	European Pollinators Initiative. Simon Potts .
11:00	Coffee break
11:30	African Pollinators Initiative. Connal Eardley .
12:00	The Southeast Asian Pollinators program. Uma Partap .
12:30	Conservation and Management of Pollinators for sustainable Agriculture through an Ecosystem Approach. Linda Collette .

13:00	Lunch
SESSION 1: ASSESSMENT OF POLLINATORS' STATUS	
14:15	Pollinator populations and declines: new insights. David Roubik.
15:00	Progress and challenges in bee diversity assessments. Terry Griswold
15:45	Resampling to detect change in local bee assemblages: limitations and some insights gained from regional abundance patterns. James Cane.
16:30	Coffee break
16:45	Native Bees in Natural Areas: Free Pollinators for Crops? Cynthia Pinheiro Machado
17:30	The impact of diseases, pests and pesticides on pollinators in Brazil. David de Jong.
	October 28th
SESSION 2. BEES IN AGRO ECOSYSTEMS	
9:00	Cotton Pollination in Brazil. Francisco de Souza Ramalho.
9:30	Molecular markers in gene flow studies. Rogério Gribel Soares.
10:00	Coffee break
10:30	A quantitative approach to assess specialized plant-pollinator systems. Clemens Schlindwein; Celso Feitosa Martins; Dieter Wittmann & André Hamm.
11:00	Identifying crop pollinators: plant pollination requirement vs pollinators effectiveness. Breno M. Freitas.
11:30	Challenges to the use and conservation of pollinators in Kenya, Jordan and Germany. D. Wittmann, M. Schndlner, A. Al-Ghzawi, S.T. A. Al-Razaq Zaitoun, D. Klein, V. Sieg, S. Streuff & S. Tarazandepour.
12:00	Social bees and flowers in Brazil: a metanalysis. Jacobus C. Biesmeijer.
12:30	Lunch

SESSION 3. BEE MANAGEMENT FOR POLLINATION PURPOSES

14:00	Costs and benefits of managing pollinators: lessons learned. Peter Kevan & Blandina Felipe Viana.
14:45	Solitary bees for crop pollination in Brazil. Isabel Alves dos Santos.
15:30	Coffee break
15:45	Stingless bees rearing and use as important pollinators. Giorgio C. Venturieri.
16:30	Honeybees as crop pollinators in Brazil. Lionel S. Gonçalves

SESSION 4.

17:15	Study groups' instructions.
	October 29th
	Workshop breakout sessions on practical issues and solutions to problems perceived. Study groups 1) suggested structure for the working group a) Experimental Outline for Agriculture and Natural Areas. 2) suggested structure for the working group b) Mediated Gene Flow. 3) suggested structure for the working group c) Bee management for pollination purposes. 3.1) Solitary bees. 3.2) Honey bees. 3.3) Stingless bees.
	October 30th
14:00	Working group presentations. Fernando Amaral da Silveira - Experimental outlines for pollinators in Agriculture Cynthia Pinheiro-Machado - Experimental outlines for pollinators in natural areas Claudia Maria Jacobi - Gene flow and pollinators Marina Siqueira de Castro, Breno M. Freitas and David de Jong – Bees' management for pollination purposes

16:00	Recommendations for further studies in Brazil
16:30	Closing ceremony